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1 Building description
For the renovation of an existing institutional building built in 1954, two stories had to be added to the existing three stories. The heated surface – as calculated with the Passive-House-Planning-Package software – amounts to 2'841 m\textsuperscript{2} for an inner volume of 12'817 m\textsuperscript{3}. Due to the large wall insulation the net heated area can vary substantially if calculated with different software: in our case, it would amount to 3'366 m\textsuperscript{2}, if calculated according to the governmental regulation in force [1]. This is important for the comparison of the specific yearly heat demand.
The site between railway station and a through road asked for special attention to acoustic issues and severe microclimate in summer. The central location, however, makes the building highly visible and sort of a lighthouse for the reconciliation of architectural ambition, energy efficiency and innovation.
The building was certified as Klimahaus Gold according to the regional rating scheme, with a calculated energy demand of 7 kWh/m\textsuperscript{2}a according Klimahaus calculation. Furthermore the building has been calculated with PHPP (passiv house planning programme, provided by Passivhausinstitut Darmstadt) to an energy demand of 10 kWh/m\textsuperscript{2}a.
Two years for design and project completion were allowed by the contractor and the budget was set very tight, being the renovation paid by public funds.

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{fig1.png}
\caption{a) View of the refurbished building from the station, b) Detail of realised Façade}
\end{figure}

1.1 Building site
Bolzano is situated within the Alps in the north of Italy, at a height of 265m above sea-level. The climate is characterized by quite harsh winters but also hot summers. Heating days amount to 194, heating degree days to 2736 respectively [2]. In fig.2 the average
monthly outdoor temperatures, both as long term mean and for the investigated period [3], as well as the average daily irradiation for each month [4] are shown.

Fig.2 Average monthly temperatures (source [3]) and average daily irradiation (source PVGIS [4]) in Bolzano.

1.2 Building envelope
The building shell is designed as an ETIC system with 35 cm of EPS insulation, reaching a U-value of 0.08 W/(m²K). The facade arrangement of the existing structure, with a low window fraction of 16 %, was kept for cost reasons, and was also extended to the added-on stories. The result of these two measures is a minimisation of transmission heat losses in winter and overheating in the summer. Technical and urban design-related issues in the existing building did not permit a substantial insulation of the foundation and the fire escape stairwell. However, this drawback did not compromise the overall performance of the envelope due to the large dimensions of the building.

The material thickness of the building insulation allowed an aesthetically charming play with the window reveals, also dictated by functional considerations. The latter are open diagonally to the side or upwards - depending on the position and orientation - in order to maximise the view or to optimize the incidence of light. In the upper stories, however, the upper reveals remain conventionally horizontal to provide the necessary shading and prevent overheating. Taking into account the loss of insulation material caused by the beveled reveals the walls are comparable with a straight wall with 28 cm insulation. The resulting U-value of 0.1 W/(m²K) represents no significant weakening of the insulation shell.

Fig 3. Concept of façade structure – play with window reveals

The windows are of the 3-pane type with plastic frame, insulated in the sunscreen plane with polyurethane. The result is passive-house suitable windows with a U-value of 0.79 W/(m²K). Part of the southeast facade is plastered with 220 m² of photovoltaic panels with an output of ca. 27 kW peak. The yearly yield makes up for more than 10 % of the overall power consumption in the building.

The airtightness of n50=0.6, as required for a passive house according to PHPP and Passivhausinstitut, was confirmed by blower door measurement.
A green roof provides valuable unsealed surface in the city centre and mitigates summer climate in the last floor.

Fig 5. Extensive green roof of the building

1.3 Daylighting
The interior of the building was gutted completely. An interior spacious lounge area, which serves as a waiting and reception area for the new agencies represent the core of each story and provides direct access to and between the individual offices. The receptionist offices on the front side are totally glazed to the interior. Daylighting of the building core is ensured by skylights implemented in the internal office walls and leads to energy savings for lighting especially in the upper stories. On the contrary, at evening the electric illumination of the hallways has a support function for the sensor-controlled illumination of the workplaces, thus reducing the overall electric load.

2 Heating, ventilation and air-conditioning system

2.1 Heating
The central heating system is a gas-condensing furnace of 60 kW, which provides 55°C warm water to convection heaters with single-room temperature control and further radiators installed in halls and hallways. A decentralized heat supply strategy was favoured over a central concept due to the different demand and orientation of the individual offices and the associated temperature variations. An 800 litres buffer tank ensures a linear and smooth control of the furnace. The domestic hot water (DHW) was heated decentrally in the restrooms by means of electric heaters.

2.2 Ventilation
A high-efficiency ventilation solution was reached using direct and simple ductwork. Supply air temperatures range from 24 °C to 16 °C in winter and summer, respectively. The intake air is first supplied to the floors via centrally located vertical shafts, being then distributed in the hallways and to the single offices through ducts mounted on the division walls. The ventilation ducts were enwrapped with Plexiglas, which contains high voltage neon tubes to form a combination light fixture for the hallways. The extract air flows through cross-ventilation openings in the office doors – which provide the required 38 dB sound insulation – into the hallways and it is then drawn back into the vertical shafts. The central air handling unit (AHU) with filters, heat recovery (HRV), humidifier and cooling machine is installed in the basement directly underneath the return shafts. The HRV attains an efficiency of 90 %. The outside and exhaust air ducts (i.e. the “cold” sides of the HRV) have been kept short and well insulated in order to minimize heat losses. The 12 kW compression cooling machine provides both the air dehumidification by a direct evaporator (47 kW) in the supply air, as well as the necessary air re-heating by a condenser in the same air duct.

Building restrooms are serviced separately but nevertheless through a heat recovery ventilation unit.
2.3 Air-conditioning
The supply air is dehumidified in the central AHU, as seen above. Remaining sensible heat is removed from the rooms with heavier loads by means of fan coils. The fan coils are supplied with chilled water produced by an 85 kW battery of gas-driven absorption chillers and stored in a 1500 litres buffer tank.

2.4 Strategy for building monitoring
During the renovation phase it was agreed upon the parties to carry out a thorough monitoring of the building. Strategic goal is to provide reliable data on the energy patterns and consumption under daily utilization, but also to work out indicative strategies for the energy-efficient renovation of future buildings and their effective and cost-efficient monitoring.

The monitoring system was therefore set to record heat and electricity flows, and gas consumption on an hourly base. The power consumption was recorded at the electric meters for different utilization such as information and communication, pumps and fans, domestic hot water and air dehumidification.

The implemented set-up allows to verify the overall performance of the building, but also to understand the influence of boundary parameters such as relative room location, orientation and user behaviour. Here fore temperature and humidity were recorded in the single rooms along with airflow rates and CO₂ levels.

3 3. Results
The building was inaugurated in spring 2006. The measurement campaign started in July and is still ongoing. As described above, the emphasis was placed on energy consumption as well as on thermal comfort and air quality.

3.1 End-user demand
Fig 8 shows the overall yearly energy demand and consumption for heating and cooling broken down in monthly values.
The heating demand summed up to 69'851 kWh\(^1\), which led to a specific consumption of 24.6 kWh/m\(^2\)a. The cooling demand was covered by a central air dehumidification and a decentral air-cooling system. The former – performed by a compression cooling machine – amounted to 64'258 kWh\(^2\), whereas the latter – provided by absorption chillers – reached 70'974 kWh\(^3\). The total specific energy demand for cooling summed up to 47.6 kWh/m\(^2\)a. The power consumption is depicted in Fig 9 but it must be noted in advance that the data for February had to be partly extrapolated due to the recording failure in the second half of the month. The consumption base load was formed by lighting\(^4\), ICT\(^5\) and DHW consumption with 69'811 kWh, 24'710 kWh and 3'817 kWh, respectively. Building services such as pumps, fans and control devices (126'441 kWh) were quite constant through the year but with increased demand in the winter months. Last, the dehumidification work accounted for 18'325 kWh\(^6\), with the obvious concentration in the summer months. It has to be noted that for a primary energy discussion the dehumidification demand must be weighted with the conversion efficiency of a power plant; this is discussed further below. The total specific electric consumption resulted hence in 85.6 kWh/m\(^2\)a.

### 3.2 Primary energy consumption

The overall power consumption summed up to 243'103 kWh. The photovoltaic field has produced ca. 18'000 kWh. This value is calculated on the base of a façade-mounted system with 45° southwest orientation for the region of Bolzano. The purchased power was therefore reduced to 225'103 kWh. With an assumed conversion efficiency of 33.3 % for the power plant mix the consumption translates to 675'309 kWh of primary energy.

The natural gas consumption for heating was measured at 6'007 m\(^3\) whereas the consumption of the absorption chillers amounted to 10'919 m\(^3\). With an assumed energy content for natural gas of 10 kWh/m\(^3\) the corresponding primary energy consumption would be 169'258 kWh. Hence, the total primary energy consumption amounted to 844'567 kWh. The corresponding specific primary energy consumption results in 297 kWh/m\(^2\)a.

---

\(^1\) measured with heat counter (mw216)
\(^2\) not directly measured, but calculated from electricity counter (mw7), with COP of 3.5
\(^3\) not directly measured, but calculated from gas counter (c98), assuming 10 kWh/m\(^3\) and an average COP of 0.65. The sum of the cooling demand of the single fan-coils amounts, however only to 14'588 kWh. The high discrepancy can most probably neither be attributed only to losses in the cold storage and distribution, nor to a very low COP of the absorption chiller in part load behaviour, but will be subject to further analysis.
\(^4\) might include ICT, if not plugged to UPS
\(^5\) all appliances plugged to UPS
\(^6\) measured with electricity counter (mw7)
3.3 Influence of indoor temperature on heating demand

The observed temperatures were throughout the monitoring period higher than the 20°C (assumed both in the PHPP and Klimahaus calculation). A recalculation of the heating demand with PHPP for the observed average temperature of 22.2°C leads to a 5 kWh/m²a higher heating demand.

3.4 Indoor comfort

As mentioned above temperature and relative humidity have been measured throughout the building. In order to quantify the level of comfort, those data have been plotted in temperature/humidity diagrams containing two “comfort windows” according to Leusden and Freymark [5]. The building shows a long and small shape (ratio: 3.3) along a northeast/southwest axis. Rooms on the southwest side were therefore compared to offices on the other side to detect possible effects due to orientation.

In winter no substantial differences were detected with all measurements within the boundaries of the comfort zone, as shown in Fig 10 temperatures ranged between 20.5°C and 23.5°C with the rel. humidity between 25 % and 50 %.

The situation in summer is more diversified as shown in Fig 11 to 15 for some offices on the 4th floor. Room 415 is a large office with 4 co-workers, 2 server stations and 2 PCs. Due to the high internal loads the comfort could only be ensured for roughly half of the time, with temperatures reaching almost 27°C. Rooms 408 and 401 also show similar patterns with roughly 1/3 of the values outside of the comfort limits. The two rooms are situated on the southwest and northeast side, respectively, and give place to 2 and 3 people for a density of 11 m²/person and 9 m²/person, respectively. Also in this case it seems that the high internal gains are responsible for overheating rather than solar irradiation. Lastly, room 414 on the southwest side and room 403 on the opposite side are exemplary. Both show values completely within the comfort limits and both are single offices with a density of ca. 17 m²/person.
3.5 Indoor air quality

Additionally to the monitoring, the indoor air quality has been tested following a standard procedure by the governmental health care office of South Tyrol [6]. Several offices spread across the building have been randomly tested in December 2007. Temperature, humidity, carbon dioxide and bacterial charge have been examined. The average air temperature was with 22.8°C fully comfortable. Relative humidity was rather low (38.3 %) but still in the comfort window. Carbon dioxide (707 ppm) and the two bacterial charges tested (106 and 38 UFC7) were rated as low, confirming the high efficiency of the air heat exchanger and the air filters used.

4 Conclusion

4.1 Energy consumption

The design goal to attain a full passive house standard was not completely fulfilled, most probably due to the reduced insulation layer in the basement. Moreover the indoor temperatures chosen by inhabitants tend to be above design value. Nevertheless, the renovation represents more than a factor 8 in heating savings compared to the original building.

The cooling demand remains with 47.6 kWh/m²a (i.e. ca. 40 W/m² in the Bolzano climate) rather at conventional levels. This is not surprising due to the implementation of conventional cooling strategies and lacking passive or hybrid cooling strategies such as night ventilation or evaporative cooling. Also the rather high internal loads caused by conventional lighting and ICT have most probably contributed to the high cooling load. Further analysis will also explain the large discrepancy between gas consumption and cooling energy finally delivered by fan-coils – the consumption might result to be better than it now appears to be. Nevertheless, the successful implementation of passive-house suitable shading guidelines is demonstrated by the practically equal indoor temperatures measured in northeast and southwest office rooms.

7 UFC: units forming colonies
The building overall energy consumption seems to be biased towards electric power consumption. Beside the high lighting load, also the consumption for technical services was quite high, with a surprising increase during the winter months. The rise was related to increased fan consumption in the AHU while operating in “heat recovery” mode, which in turn would suggest too high pressure drops in the AHU or, in other words, a too small AHU. These consumption facts reflect the decision of the investor to build very cost-efficiently, as discussed further below.

The primary energy consumption is with 297 kWh/m² a far above the limit set by the Passive House Institute (120 kWh/m² a). A reduction of a factor 2 in lighting and services consumption, the reduction of the heating demand to passive house levels (15 kWh/m² a) and a reduction of a factor 3 for cooling (down to 15 kWh/m² a) by means of lower internal loads and passive cooling techniques would lower the overall specific consumption to 130 kWh/m² a, thus not far from the objective. Whereas some of these options are realizable only with an early-stage integrated design planning of the building, others, such as low-energy lighting or ICT, or the introduction of manual or semi-automatic night ventilation procedures may be implemented at low cost with positive results. Particularly the adaptation of the system control on the basis of monitored data will allow for low-cost optimisation, as was shown for other buildings with complex energy systems [7].

4.2 Indoor quality and construction cost

The good indoor air quality has been confirmed by the monitored data as well as by the independent measurement of a governmental body. Merely the values for relative humidity in winter were at times around 25 % and may be worth to be increased in the future by means of a stronger air humidification.

The net construction cost of the renovation, including monitoring, roof design, and photovoltaic unit, has been assessed to 241 €/m³ by the architect. Despite this exceptionally low specific investment the high-efficiency facade and heat recovery could be implemented, as well as a green roof and a 220 m² (27 kWp) photovoltaic field. The additional cost for the high-grade building envelope amounts to 3 % compared to a renovation according to the building code in force at the time of construction. The projected cost comparison – based on design values – show convincing results: A renovated building of this size in passive-house standard (assumed 10 kWh/ma) consumes heating energy for a corresponding annual cost of € 4,100. This compares with the 220 kWh/(m² a) average for heating of existing buildings, with corresponding savings in the order of € 86,000 per year. Related to the extra-cost for energetic refurbishment (3-pane windows instead of 2-pane windows, better insulation) of 190’000 €, these savings lead to pay back time of less than three years. Compared to the “Klimahaus C” standard of 70 kWh/(m² a) for heating, which has meanwhile become the minimum standard in South Tyrol, the incremental construction cost for the passive-house building would amount to € 130,000. However, with an estimated yearly energy savings of € 25,000 compared to a Klimahaus C, the passive house would pay back in 6 years.

Even with the measured, slightly higher heating energy demand, the back back time compared to Klimahaus C is still below 7 years – not taking into account, that (I) the higher demand is in part also due to indoor temperatures above design values, which would rise demand accordingly also in a Klimahaus C and (ii) the calculation has been done with 2007 energy prizes which are very likely to rise considerably. The renovation project can therefore be rated as a success from an economic standpoint of view, as well.
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